

Understanding ‘event stratigraphy’ in the context of Anthropocene chronostratigraphic definition

Colin Waters*¹, Mark Williams¹, Jan Zalasiewicz¹, Simon Turner², Martin J. Head³, Scott Wing⁴, Anthony Barnosky⁵, Michael Wagreich⁶, Jens Zinke⁷, Colin Summerhayes⁸, Andrew Cundy⁹, Barbara Fialkiewicz-Koziel¹⁰, Reinhold Leinfelder¹¹, Peter Haff¹², John McNeill¹³, Neil Rose², Irka Hajdas¹⁴, Francine Mccarthy³, Alejandro Cearreta¹⁵, Agnieszka Gałuszka¹⁶, Jaia Syvitski¹⁷, Yongming Han¹⁸, Zhisheng An¹⁸, Ian Fairchild¹⁹, Juliana Ivar Do Sul²⁰, and Catherine Jeandel²¹

¹School of Geography, Geology and the Environment, University of Leicester, University Road, Leicester LE1 7RH – United Kingdom

²Environmental Change Research Centre, Department of Geography, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT – United Kingdom

³Department of Earth Sciences, Brock University, 1812 Sir Isaac Brock Way, St. Catharines, Ontario L2S 3A1 – Canada

⁴Department of Paleobiology, Smithsonian Museum of Natural History, 10th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20560 – United States

⁵Department of Integrative Biology, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 – United States

⁶Department of Geology, University of Vienna, A-1090 Vienna – Austria

⁷School of Geography, Geology and the Environment, University of Leicester, University Road, Leicester LE1 7RH, UK – United Kingdom

⁸Scott Polar Research Institute, Cambridge University, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1ER – United Kingdom

⁹School of Ocean and Earth Science, University of Southampton, National Oceanography Centre, Southampton – United Kingdom

¹⁰Biogeochemistry Research Unit, Institute of Geocology and Geoinformation, Adam Mickiewicz University, Krygowskiego 10, Poznań – Poland

¹¹Department of Geological Sciences, Freie Universität Berlin, Malteserstr. 74-100/D, 12249 Berlin – Germany

¹²Nicholas School of the Environment, Duke University, 9 Circuit Drive, Box 90238, Durham, NC 27708 – United States

¹³Georgetown University, Washington, DC – United States

¹⁴Laboratory of Ion Beam Physics, ETH Otto-Stern-Weg 5, 8093 Zurich – Switzerland

¹⁵Departamento de Geología, Facultad de Ciencia y Tecnología, Universidad del País Vasco UPV/EHU, Apartado 644, 48080 Bilbao – Spain

¹⁶Geochemistry and the Environment Division, Institute of Chemistry, Jan Kochanowski University, 7 Uniwersytecka St, 25-406 Kielce – Poland

¹⁷INSTAAR and CSDMS, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO – United States

¹⁸State Key Laboratory of Loess and Quaternary Geology, Institute of Earth Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xi’an 710061 – China

¹⁹School of Geography, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT – United Kingdom

²⁰Leibniz Institute for Baltic Sea Research Warnemünde (IOW), Rostock – Germany

²¹LEGOS, Université de Toulouse, CNES, CNRS, IRD, UPS, 14 avenue Édouard Belin, 31400 Toulouse – LEGOS, Université de Toulouse, CNES, CNRS, IRD, UPS – France

Abstract

The Anthropocene Working Group of the Subcommittee on Quaternary Stratigraphy is working towards formally defining an Anthropocene epoch/series, and its associated age/stage commencing in the mid-20th century. The proposal will recommend that the Anthropocene be constrained by a Global boundary Stratotype Section and Point (GSSP) in a sediment core and supported by several Standard Auxiliary Boundary Stratotypes (SABSs) that permit correlation of the base of the Anthropocene into many of the diverse depositional environments in which it is clearly recorded. Global correlation is achieved using the many geosignatures of the Great Acceleration Event Array (GAEA: Head et al., 2022a, 2022b; Waters et al., 2022). We evaluate recent proposals that the Anthropocene should be an informal ‘event’ characterised as an interdisciplinary, time-transgressive concept extending over tens of millennia and still ongoing, and not based exclusively on the stratigraphic record. We provide analysis of ‘events’ in geological history and scrutinize their definition.

We investigate how concepts of events and episodes should be more rigorously applied and how events can be used as suitable guides for chronostratigraphic boundaries, using analogous Quaternary and deeper-time examples. We recognise events as associated with rapid rates of process change over brief time intervals and distinguish between global phenomena that represent an Earth System state-shift, e.g. large bolide impacts or Snowball Earth terminations, and local to global phenomena that do not alter the functioning of the Earth System, e.g. tsunamis. Episodes, in the informal use of the term, are by contrast long-lived phenomena, markedly time-transgressive with slow rates of process change. These too can be differentiated between episodes that cause state-shifts (e.g. the effects of very large igneous provinces such as the Siberian Traps on climate, oceans and biota) and those that have more modest and reversible impacts (e.g. changes in orbital parameters amplified by other Earth effects that cause the glacial-interglacial oscillation). Time resolution interacts with perceived suddenness and consequently samples closely spaced in time may reveal events that are embedded within episodes.

In the context of human impacts on stratigraphical successions, we recognise an extensive time-transgressive ‘episode’ related to the global record of all geologically significant anthropogenic change, termed the Anthropogenic Modification Episode (AME). Nested within the AME are many brief and geologically correlatable events. The most notable is the GAEA, an array of global anthropogenic signals recorded in mid-20th century deposits, e.g.: onset of the radionuclide ‘bomb-spike’; appearance of microplastics, novel organic chemicals and fly ash particles; marked changes in patterns of sedimentary deposition, and in heavy metal contents and carbon/nitrogen isotopic ratios; and biotic changes leaving a global fossil record. These events include short-duration signals that returned to pre-1950 levels within a few decades, as well as signals that will persist for millennia or will be permanent (e.g. significant reconfiguration of ecosystems including extinctions).

Given the intensity, magnitude, planetary significance and global isochroneity of the GAEA, it provides a suitable level for recognition of the base of the Anthropocene as a series/epoch (Waters et al., 2023). The chronostratigraphic Anthropocene, defined in strict accordance with ICS approved nomenclature and procedures, provides a clear and stable meaning to stratigraphic use of the term “Anthropocene”.

References

Head, M.J., Zalasiewicz, J.A., Waters, C.N. et al. 2022a. The proposed Anthropocene Epoch/Series is underpinned by an extensive array of mid-20th century stratigraphic event signals. *Journal of Quaternary Science* 37(7), 1181–1187.

Head, M.J., Zalasiewicz, J.A., Waters, C.N. et al. 2022b. The Anthropocene is a prospective epoch/series, not a geological event. Episodes <https://doi.org/10.18814/epiiugs/2022/022025>

*Speaker

Waters CN, Williams M, Zalasiewicz J. et al. 2022. Epochs, events and episodes: marking the geological impact of humans. *Earth-Science Reviews* 234: 104171 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2022.104171>
Waters, C.N., Turner, S.D., Zalasiewicz, J. and Head, M.J. (eds) (2023) Candidate sites and other reference sections for the Global boundary Stratotype Section and Point (GSSP) of the Anthropocene Series. *The Anthropocene Review* <https://doi.org/10.1177/20530196221136422>

Keywords: Anthropocene, chronostratigraphy, event stratigraphy, episodes